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- Provide a focussed, cross-cutting assessment of:
  - The role of the oceans and cryosphere in the climate system
  - Risks, vulnerabilities, impacts and implications
  - Resilience pathways and adaptation options
- Present new and updated information for decision-makers to inform the design and implementation of appropriate policies and actions.

At its 43rd Session (Nairobi, Kenya, 11 – 13 April 2016), the IPCC Panel decided to prepare a special report on climate change and the oceans and the cryosphere. The scoping meeting that prepared the draft outline for the report was held on 6 – 9

https://www.ipcc.ch/report/srocc/
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Where we are on the IPCC “Snakes and Ladders” game ....

Final approvals and release in September 2019 (same week as OceanObs19); embargoed until then.
Oceans provide connectivity spanning the remotest regions of the planet.

Ocean circulation does this, and is (comparatively) well measured at the surface and arguably at 1000m depth, plus some key chokepoints (RAPID, SAMOC, etc).

(An outstanding challenge remains – to fully resolve the four-dimensional ocean circulation. How?)

Polar regions have disproportionately strong influence.
Polar regions under-represented in water column data ...
Should data distribution be even?

Smaller scales need resolving as move towards poles (also boundary currents etc). Not easily tractable using even present satellite altimeters, which resolve only part of mesoscale field.

Next-generation altimeters (e.g. proposed ESA mission SKIM) would allow significant advances.
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If Polar Oceans are divided into 5 sampling domains, only half of domain 1 is (arguably) sufficiently sampled.

Physical measurements still predominate (but...)

Seasonal bias still dominates for most non-physical measurements.

So there is a data problem in the Polar Oceans...
Enhancements to “conventional” Argo ...

“Argo 2025” design is for 4700 floats
- 2500 conventional core floats
- 1200 deep floats
- 1000 biogeochemical floats

Cost will be ~3x current cost; need strategy for funding and sustaining.

(e.g. Gray et al. 2018)
Surface fluxes – key variables, but poorly constrained over high latitude oceans

Coverage is especially poor in seasonally and perennially ice-covered regions, although these are some of the key areas (deep ocean ventilation etc).

Heat flux from ERA-Interim, and data used as constraints.

(courtesy Sarah Gille)
Sub-ice shelf measurements are critical

“Conventional” access by hot water drilling

Sampling the boundary layer
Sub-ice shelf measurements are critical. "Conventional" access by hot water drilling.

Sampling the boundary layer (<10 moorings covering Antarctica? Greenland?)

(courtesy Keith Nicholls)
Innovative observations for ice/ocean interactions

AUVs

Tagging of mammals

... are required to track changes in the ocean forcing on the ice sheets, also ice shelf response.

Ice shelves buttress the ice sheet, and control glacier flow and discharge.

How to sustain?
Argo array has changed our view of the physical upper ocean, and is beginning to do the same for (some) biogeochemistry...

Other/smarter autonomous vehicles are now maturing, and can carry advanced non-physical sensors e.g. acoustics for zooplankton biomass.

Swarms of such vehicles under ML/AI control could be central to future sustained ocean monitoring.

Surface vehicles to address dearth of flux data, especially high latitudes.

Requires strong international cooperation/coordination, exceeding that even of Argo. Possible?

Priorities are to make vehicles and sensors full-depth, year-round, ice-capable and smart.
How to sustain...?

“Scientific and societal issues determine variables to measure, attracting investment from nations through their research institutions. The outcome of the observations, that is, data, products and new knowledge, inform both existing and new issues, and a feedback loop that keeps the observation system 'fit for purpose'.”

- Cai et al., *Nature Climate Change*, 2015

- True, but reflects the scientists’ perspective ...
- “Owners/end-users” have a near-reverse feedback loop
- Difference between “useful”, “useable”, and “used”
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The hope is that SROCC (about which I have told you nothing) contributes toward making the case for sustained observations of the ocean/cryosphere, by delivering an integrated assessment of current knowledge (and gaps) to policymakers, to inform on the choices they can make.

To be published September 2019...
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